

Hybrid Inorganic–Organic Polyrotaxane, Pseudorotaxane, and Sandwich

Li Yu, Mian Li, Xiao-Ping Zhou, and Dan Li*

Department of Chemistry and Research Institute for Biomedical and Advanced Materials, Shantou University, Shantou, Guangdong 515063, People's Republic of China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Inorganic copper(I)/silver(I) halide/pseudohalide components are used to thread classical organic tetracationic macrocycles, cyclobis(paraquat-*p*-phenylene) and cyclobis(paraquat-4,4'-biphenylene), to construct crystalline inorganic–organic adducts, featuring an unprecedented hybrid polyrotaxane and several unusual hybrid pseudorotaxanes and sandwiches.

Entangled (e.g., mechanically interlocked molecules¹) and adducted (e.g., sandwich compounds²) structures have long been the targets of chemists, but they usually required sophisticated organic synthesis. Recently, advances have been made in a new range of extended rotaxane coordination polymers (also known as metal–organic rotaxane frameworks)³ that are promising candidates of crystalline molecular machines.^{3e}

The outcomes of introducing metal ions into the self-assembly are entangled hybrid materials,⁴ which integrate organic ingredients that warrant designed structure/function and inorganic elements that impart diverse bonding patterns and electronic properties. These extended arrays with the prototypes of polyrotaxane or polypseudorotaxane are constructed either by the reaction of predesigned rotaxane-containing linkers with metals³ or by the metal- or anion-directed self-assembly of tetracationic organic macrocycles,⁵ both of which feature coordination arrays threading organic rings, not a genuine case of hybrid rotaxane.

Remarkably, there are only sporadic examples⁶ of mechanical linking of pure inorganic and organic components, representing genuine hybrid rotaxanes, at the molecular level. For instance, the Leigh^{6a,b} and Aida^{6c} groups demonstrated that inorganic nanocluster “wheels” are penetrable by finite or infinite organic “axles”, and more recently a tetrasilver cluster was shown to reside in a polypyridine macrocycle, forming a hybrid inorganic–organic pseudorotaxane.^{6d}

Our group has been investigating the coordination chemistry of copper(I) halides⁷ and pseudohalides⁸ and developed a synthetic protocol⁹ by using these pure inorganic segments to encapsulate cationic organic guanidinium,^{9b} metal–terpyridine complexes,^{9c} and metal–pyrazolate cluster helicates.^{9d} The CuSCN aggregates can endow variable coordination configurations (linear, triangular, or tetrahedral) and charge carriers (neutral, cationic, or anionic) to cope with diverse molecular entities via self-adaptation.⁹ In an attempt to prepare hybrid rotaxanes, two renowned tetracationic cyclophanes¹⁰ in rotaxane chemistry, namely, cyclobis(paraquat-*p*-phenylene)¹¹ (1^{4+} ,

known as “blue box”) and cyclobis(paraquat-4,4'-biphenylene)¹² (2^{4+}), are selected in this work (Figure 1). The cationic molecular





Figure 1. Structures of 1^{4+} and 2^{4+} .

squares may direct the coordination configurations of anionic inorganic components through weak interactions and steric effects to assemble diverse supramolecular adducts, especially interlocked structures.

Herein we report success in constructing crystalline hybrid inorganic–organic adducts via solvothermal synthesis, which represents an underdeveloped route that could complement other better studied threading strategies. All reported complexes consist of two independent but not separate components: anionic inorganic copper(I)/silver(I) halide/pseudohalide aggregates and cationic organic macrocycles. Their crystal structures fall into three categories (Scheme 1): polyrotaxane [$1\text{Cu}_4(\text{SCN})_8$]_n (**3**), sandwiches [$1(\text{Cu}_2\text{I}_5)\text{I}_3$] (**4**) and [$1(\text{Ag}_6\text{I}_{10})$] (**5**), and pseudorotaxanes [$(2\text{Cu}_2\text{I}_4)\text{I}_2$] (**6**) and [$(2\text{Ag}_2\text{I}_4)\text{I}_2$] (**7**). To the best of our knowledge, **3** represents the first example of a genuine hybrid polyrotaxane composed of mechanically interlocked infinite inorganic “axles” and finite organic “wheels”.

Scheme 1. Topological Alignments between Organic Macrocycles and Inorganic Aggregates: (a) Polyrotaxane, (b) Pseudorotaxane, and (c and d) Sandwiches



Received: July 4, 2013

Published: September 4, 2013

Complex **3** was synthesized by a one-pot reaction of CuSCN and **1**·4PF₆ in acetonitrile at 100 °C in high yield [see the Supporting Information (SI) for details]. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction reveals that **3** crystallizes in triclinic space group $P\bar{1}$ and features a one-dimensional polyrotaxane structure. The asymmetric unit of **3** contains two independent Cu^I atoms, four SCN⁻ anions, and half-macrocycle **1**⁴⁺ (Figure 2a). The Cu^I




Figure 2. Crystal structure of **3**: (a) asymmetric unit; (b) polymeric anionic CuSCN chain containing 8- and 16-membered rings; (c) location of the 8-membered CuSCN ring in the macrocycle **1**⁴⁺; (d) hybrid polyrotaxane structure of **3**.

atom adopts a triangular coordination geometry and is coordinated by three N-donor atoms from SCN⁻. The Cu2 atom is four-coordinated by three S-donor atoms and one N-donor atom from SCN⁻ and adopts a distorted tetrahedral coordination geometry. Three SCN⁻ anions adopt a μ_2 bridging mode, and one terminal SCN⁻ binds to Cu1 through N3. The Cu^I ions are bridged by SCN⁻ anions and form a novel anionic polymeric $[\text{Cu}_2(\text{SCN})_4]^{2-}$ chain (Figure 2b), which is composed of 8-membered (CuSCN)₂ rings and 16-membered (CuSCN)₄ rings. These two types of CuSCN rings share the tetrahedral Cu^I sites.

The most interesting structural feature of **3** is that all organic macrocycles **1**⁴⁺ are penetrated by the inorganic CuSCN chain, which leads to a unique inorganic–organic polyrotaxane structure (Figure 2d and Scheme 1a). The small 8-membered ring acts as the thread and locates at the center of the cavity of **1**⁴⁺ (Figure 2c). The distances between the N/C atoms of SCN⁻ and the C atoms of **1**⁴⁺ are similar and range from 3.367 to 3.838 Å. The 16-membered ring with the dangled SCN⁻ acts as the bulky stopper. The distance between the two S atoms of two dangled SCN⁻ anions is 14.894 Å, which is longer than the length of **1**⁴⁺ (about 10.0 Å). Therefore, the **1**⁴⁺ wheels cannot escape from or move along the CuSCN axes without breaking the bonds in CuSCN. In comparison to this inorganic–organic polyrotaxane, pure organic macromolecular polyrotaxanes are extensively reported,^{13a} but usually there are only two stoppers at the end of the thread.^{13b,c} The formation of pure organic polyrotaxanes like **3** featuring an elaborate arrangement of host **1**⁴⁺ with multiple stoppers may be difficult.

Attempts are also made to synthesize polyrotaxanes by the use of macrocycle **1** and CuI or AgI because both of the latter can form anionic aggregates with rich structures.^{7a} Compounds **4** and **5** were yielded by the solvothermal reaction of CuI (or AgI), KI, and **1**·4PF₆ in a mixture solvents of acetonitrile and water at 80 °C (see the SI for details). Single-crystal X-ray analyses found that **4** and **5** crystallize in monoclinic space groups $P2_1/c$ and $P\bar{1}$, respectively, and feature sandwich-like structures (Figure 3 and Scheme 1c,d). In compound **4**, all Cu^I atoms adopt a tetrahedral




Figure 3. Hybrid sandwich structures of **4** (a) and **5** (b). Color code: I, purple; Cu, cyan; Ag, green; C and N, orange. H atoms are omitted.

coordination geometry and are bridged by I⁻ anions (Figure S4 in the SI), giving an extended anionic $[\text{Cu}_2\text{I}_5]^{3-}$ chain. Except for the anionic CuI chain, there are additional I₃⁻ anions in the lattice to balance the positive charge of the tetracationic rings. As shown in Figure 3a, the cationic macrocycle **1**⁴⁺ is surrounded by the $[\text{Cu}_2\text{I}_5]^{3-}$ chain and I₃⁻ anions, forming a special sandwich structure (Scheme 1c). In complex **5**, the Ag^I ions adopt both triangular and tetrahedral geometry, coordinated by I⁻. A complex anionic $[\text{Ag}_6\text{I}_{10}]^{4-}$ chain is formed by connecting Ag₃I₃ and Ag₂I₆⁴⁻ segments (Figure 3b). Similar to **4**, the sandwich structure is presented in **5**, but the orientation of the macrocycle **1**⁴⁺ is different (Scheme 1d). The polyrotaxane structure in **3** is not formed in **4** and **5**, indicating that the threading ability of the CuI or AgI anionic chain is less flexible than that of CuSCN.

As a comparison, we also explore the larger macrocycle **2**⁴⁺ as the host. The reaction of **2**·4PF₆ with CuI and AgI under similar conditions gave compounds **6** and **7**, respectively. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analyses reveal that both complexes **6** and **7** crystallize in monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$, and they are isomorphous and feature the pseudorotaxane structure (Figure 4). Only the structure of **6** will be described here. The




Figure 4. Hybrid pseudorotaxane structures of **6** (a) and **7** (b). Color code: I, purple; Cu, cyan; Ag, green; C and N, orange. H atoms are omitted.

asymmetric unit of **6** contains one Cu^I atom, three I⁻ molecules, and a half-molecule of **2**⁴⁺ (Figure S5 in the SI). The Cu^I ion adopts a triangular geometry and is bound by three I⁻ ligands. Two Cu^I atoms are bridged by μ_2 -I to form a common anionic Cu₂I₄²⁻ cluster^{7a} with two terminal I⁻ ions. Cu₂I₄²⁻ acts as an inorganic thread and resides within the window of the cationic macrocycle **2**⁴⁺ in an inclined fashion, giving a pseudorotaxane pattern (Scheme 1b). The dimension of the Cu₂I₂ rhombus is 2.889 × 4.269 Å² (Cu⋯Cu and I⋯I diagonals), significantly smaller than that of **2**⁴⁺ (ca. 11.5 × 7.5 Å²), which would warrant the threading of Cu₂I₄²⁻ stabilized by electrostatic interaction to

reside in the cavity of 2^{4+} . There exist two Γ^- per $[(2\text{D}Ag_2I_4)I_2]$ unit as a counterbalancing charge. This pseudorotaxane structure resembles that of an early organic pseudorotaxane of tetrathiafulvalene threading 1^{4+} .¹⁴ The reaction of CuSCN with $2\cdot 4\text{PF}_6$ yielded an interesting structure, featuring hybrid inorganic–organic capsulation (Figure S11 in the SI), which cannot be presented in detail because of poor crystal data.

The thermal stability of **3** was characterized by thermogravimetric analysis (Figure S2 in the SI). The solid sample stayed stable until 250 °C. The temperature-dependent powder X-ray diffraction pattern (Figure S3 in the SI) indicated that a crystal-to-amorphous transition occurred upon increasing temperature. Compounds **4–7** showed similar thermal stabilities and crystallization degrees (Figures S6–S8 in the SI). All complexes are poorly soluble in common organic solvents, e.g., *N,N*-dimethylformamide, methanol, and acetonitrile.

In summary, the self-adaptation ability of copper(I)/silver(I) halide/pseudohalide aggregates, particularly that of CuSCN, is taken advantage of to form anionic inorganic chain- or rodlike clusters, threading or nonthreading classical cationic organic cyclophanes. The resulting hybrid inorganic–organic adducts, featuring a polyrotaxane, pseudorotaxanes, and sandwiches, indicate that this synthetic methodology may be generalized for obtaining wider ranges of crystalline hybrid materials. Further considerations that seem plausible include the replacement of the “axles” with higher-coordination metal pseudohalides such as $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6^{3-}$ or $\text{Mo}(\text{CN})_8^{4-}$ and the substitution of the “wheels” with other famous supramolecular hosts such as crown ethers, cyclodextrins, cucurbiturils, or macrocyclic amides.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

■ Supporting Information

Experimental details, crystal data (CCDC 946603–946607), and physical measurements. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: dli@stu.edu.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is financially supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program; Grants 2012CB821706 and 2013CB834803), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants 91222202, 21171114, and 21101103), and the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (Grant S201140004334).

■ REFERENCES

- (1) For reviews, see: (a) Raymo, F. M.; Stoddart, J. F. *Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *99*, 1643. (b) Kay, E. R.; Leigh, D. A.; Zerbetto, F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 72. (c) Crowley, J. D.; Goldup, S. M.; Lee, A.-L.; Leigh, D. A.; McBurney, R. T. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 1530. (d) Amabilino, D. B.; Pérez-García, L. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 1562. (e) Stoddart, J. F. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 1802. (f) Forgan, R. S.; Sauvage, J.-P.; Stoddart, J. F. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 5434. (g) Bruns, C. J.; Stoddart, J. F. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2012**, *323*, 19. (h) Venturi, M.; Marchi, E.; Balzani, V. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2012**, *323*, 73. (i) Sauvage, J.-P.; Amabilino, D. B. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2012**, *323*, 107.
- (2) For examples, see: (a) Kealy, T. J.; Pauson, P. L. *Nature* **1951**, *168*, 1039. (b) Urnėzius, E.; Brennessel, W. W.; Cramer, C. J.; Ellis, J. E.;

Schleyer, P. v. R. *Science* **2002**, *295*, 832. (c) Werner, H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 6052.

- (3) (a) Deng, H.; Olson, M. A.; Stoddart, J. F.; Yaghi, O. M. *Nat. Chem.* **2010**, *2*, 439. (b) Loeb, S. J. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 1511. (c) Vukotic, V. N.; Loeb, S. J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 5896. (d) Yang, J.; Ma, J.-F.; Batten, S. R. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 7899. (e) Vogelsberg, C. S.; Garcia-Garibay, M. A. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 1892.

- (4) (a) Themed issue: Hybrid materials. Sanchez, C.; Shea, K. J.; Kitagawa, S. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 453–1152. (b) Férey, G. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, *37*, 191.

- (5) (a) Gong, H.-Y.; Rambo, B. M.; Karnas, E.; Lynch, V. M.; Sessler, J. L. *Nat. Chem.* **2010**, *2*, 406. (b) Gong, H.-Y.; Rambo, B. M.; Karnas, E.; Lynch, V. M.; Keller, K. M.; Sessler, J. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 1526. (c) Rambo, B. M.; Gong, H.-Y.; Oh, M.; Sessler, J. L. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2012**, *45*, 1390. (d) Gong, H.-Y.; Rambo, B. M.; Lynch, V. M.; Keller, K. M.; Sessler, J. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 6330.

- (6) (a) Lee, C.-F.; Leigh, D. A.; Pritchard, R. G.; Schultz, D.; Teat, S. J.; Timco, G. A.; Winpenny, R. E. P. *Nature* **2009**, *458*, 314. (b) Ballesteros, B.; Faust, T. B.; Lee, C.-F.; Leigh, D. A.; Muryn, C. A.; Pritchard, R. G.; Schultz, D.; Teat, S. J.; Timco, G. A.; Winpenny, R. E. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 15435. (c) Alam, M. A.; Kim, Y.-S.; Ogawa, S.; Tsuda, A.; Ishii, N.; Aida, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 2070. (d) Gao, C.-Y.; Zhao, L.; Wang, M.-X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 8448.

- (7) (a) Peng, R.; Li, M.; Li, D. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *254*, 1. (b) Li, M.; Li, Z.; Li, D. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 3390. (c) Zhan, S.-Z.; Li, M.; Zhou, X.-P.; Wang, J.-H.; Yang, J.-R.; Li, D. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 12441. (d) Zhan, S.-Z.; Li, M.; Ng, S. W.; Li, D. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 10217.

- (8) (a) Ni, W.-X.; Li, M.; Zhou, X.-P.; Li, Z.; Huang, X.-C.; Li, D. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 3479. (b) Zhan, S.-Z.; Li, M.; Hou, J.-Z.; Ni, J.; Li, D.; Huang, X.-C. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2008**, *14*, 8916. (c) Zhan, S.-Z.; Li, M.; Zhou, X.-P.; Ni, J.; Huang, X.-C.; Li, D. *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 8879. (d) Wang, J.-H.; Li, M.; Li, D. *Chem. Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 1793.

- (9) (a) Zhou, X.-P.; Li, D.; Wu, T.; Zhang, X. *Dalton Trans.* **2006**, 2435. (b) Lin, S.-H.; Zhou, X.-P.; Li, D.; Ng, S. W. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2008**, *8*, 3879. (c) Zhou, X.-P.; Ni, W.-X.; Zhan, S.-Z.; Ni, J.; Li, D.; Yin, Y.-G. *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 2345. (d) Hou, J.-Z.; Li, M.; Li, Z.; Zhan, S.-Z.; Huang, X.-C.; Li, D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 1711.

- (10) (a) Cram, D. J.; Cram, J. M. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1971**, *4*, 204. (b) *Modern Cyclophane Chemistry*; Gleiter, R., Hopf, H., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2005.

- (11) (a) Odell, B.; Reddington, M. V.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Spencer, N.; Stoddart, J. F.; Williams, D. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1988**, *27*, 1547. (b) Asakawa, M.; Dehaen, W.; L'abbé, G.; Menzer, S.; Nouwen, J.; Raymo, F. M.; Stoddart, J. F.; Williams, D. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 9591.

- (12) (a) Asakawa, M.; Ashton, P. R.; Menzer, S.; Raymo, F. M.; Stoddart, J. F.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **1996**, *2*, 877. (b) Ashton, P. R.; Menzer, S.; Raymo, F. M.; Shimizu, G. K. H.; Stoddart, J. F.; Williams, D. J. *Chem. Commun.* **1996**, 487.

- (13) (a) Harada, A.; Hashidzume, A.; Yamaguchi, H.; Takashima, Y. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *109*, 5974. (b) Kihara, N.; Hinoue, K.; Takata, T. *Macromolecules* **2004**, *38*, 223. (c) Ogooshi, T.; Nishida, Y.; Yamagishi, T.-a.; Nakamoto, Y. *Macromolecules* **2010**, *43*, 7068.

- (14) Philp, D.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Spencer, N.; Stoddart, J. F.; Williams, D. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1991**, 1584.